

# Mental Health of Preschool and Primary School Children: Dynamics and Improvement

Valentina Spichak<sup>1\*</sup>, Valentina Sirotkina<sup>1</sup>, Irina Sokolenova<sup>1</sup>, Iuliia Akulinina<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>I. M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russian Federation, <sup>2</sup>The University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

## Abstract

Improving the mental health of children is one of the main tasks for modern society. The aim of the work is to study the dynamics of mental health in preschool and primary school children under a psychological and pedagogical experiment. The study was conducted at 12 kindergartens (250 children) and three primary schools (200 children) in 2018–2019, in Moscow (Russian Federation). Children of each age group were divided into two groups according to the time spent on the Internet. The control group spent up to 15 min a day. The experimental group had an uncontrolled pastime. In total, there were four groups. The performance indicators (average score) and the dynamics of aggression were studied. The parents were provided with a survey. Children and parents had lectures with psychologists and teachers during the second academic year. The number of students with high academic performance increased by 1.4 times in 2019 compared with that of 2018 ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Meanwhile, the number of students with low academic performance decreased by 1.3 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). The aggression in preschoolers improved during 2018. Thus, instrumental aggression in the experimental group decreased by 1.6 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ), indirect aggression by 1.2 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), verbal aggression by 1.2 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). The control group indicators decreased by 1.7 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ), 1.9 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ), and 1.4 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ), respectively. The level of aggression also decreased in 2019. In the control group, instrumental aggression decreased by 1.4 times less ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), indirect aggression 1.6 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ), and verbal aggression 1.5 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Meanwhile, the experimental group indicators decreased to a lesser extent: 1.2 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), 1.2 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), and 1.3 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), respectively. The child aggression can be associated with an extra time spent on the Internet and the conniving attitude of parents. Children from the experimental group demonstrated aggressive behavior 1.5–1.7 times more often than children from the control group ( $p \leq 0.01$ ). The survey of parents showed the same pattern. Thus, connivance of aggression and an aggressive reaction to communication with teachers were more significant (0.5–0.7 times,  $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the experimental group compared with the control. The improvement of the behavior of children was successful already after 1 year of the experiment. The academic performance improved, while aggressiveness decreased in all groups.

**Keywords:** academic performance, aggressive behavior, children, improvement, preschool age, primary school age

## INTRODUCTION

In modern society, along with an increase in socialization, the number of people with distinctive features in behavior is also increasing. Children of both primary school and preschool age are of no exception (Morine *et al.*, 2011). The emotional characteristics, associated with children of this age, include insecurity, growing anxiety, and emotional instability (Ahn & Rodkin, 2014; Margaryan *et al.*, 2020). Thus, the mental, physical, and emotional health of preschool and primary school children should be monitored and subsequently improved. In any school or kindergarten, there is a set of rules that must be followed by children. Children with emotional

or mental disorders have difficulties to comply with those rules (Altermatt, 2011). The development of correct behavior is important for children growing in accordance with the concepts of a healthy psyche and emotional development. Moreover, it contributes to the development of a full-fledged personality without deviant behavior (Laurent *et al.*, 2020).

**Address for correspondence:** Valentina Spichak. I. M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russian Federation.  
E-mail: spichak.valentina@rambler.ru

**Submitted:** 22 December 2020

**Revised:** 12 January 2021

**Accepted:** 23 February 2021

**Published:** 26 March 2021

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

**For reprints contact:** pnrjournal@gmail.com

**How to cite this article:** Spichak V, Sirotkina V, Sokolenova I, Akulinina I. Mental health of preschool and primary school children: Dynamics and improvement. *J Pharm Negative Results* 2021;12:20–26.

### Access this article online

#### Quick Response Code:



**Website:**  
www.pnrjournal.com

**DOI:**  
10.47750/pnr.2021.12.01.004

Socially, emotionally, and psychologically prepared children are the key to a healthy society.

The psychological inadaptation influences the inner world of a preschool and primary school child (Mouratidou *et al.*, 2020). Inadaptation is characterized by a mismatch between the psychological and emotional state of a child and the requirements of a new social environment (Baker *et al.*, 2016). The cause for inadaptation may be a transfer between kindergartens, or kindergarten to school (Kokkinos & Kipritsi, 2012). Inadaptation is balancing between the normal and pathological mental state of the child. The mental and the social inadaptations are distinguished (Abate *et al.*, 2017). Most works on mental health improvement consider inadaptations in school and preschool children separately (Young-Jones *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Hernández *et al.*, 2016). A separate area studies child at risk of social inadaptations (Tisak *et al.*, 2012; Harris *et al.*, 2016). Lack of motivation to study and lack of interest in the process of learning are considered as consequences or manifestations of inadaptations (Tsorbatzoudis *et al.*, 2013). The social inadaptation is characterized by the progressive failure of the student's performance. Meanwhile, the mental inadaptation is associated with deviant behavior (Runions & Shaw, 2013). Social networks and computer games are the cause of the loss of interest in learning among school children. According to some studies, this is a result of insufficient attention to the child on the part of the parents (Rekalidou & Petrogiannis, 2012). Inadaptation is usually associated with the so-called "age crisis of 7 years" (Denham *et al.*, 2012; Gregoriadis & Grammatikopoulos, 2014). A 7-year-old child develops own internal position (attitude) toward society and the system of rules. At primary school age, a bunch of internal attitudes and motivation for participation in the educational process is formed. Generally, in the process of studying, the educational and cognitive activity should dominate over playing. The academic success is greatly influenced by parents. Education is also important for the development of emotional and psychological spheres of preschool and primary school children (Groh *et al.*, 2012; Timoshin *et al.*, 2018).

Another important aspect of primary school age is the increased aggression. The aggressiveness of children is caused by the transition of children from one educational environment (kindergarten) to another (school), since it is necessary to adapt to completely new conditions. In case of untimely attention to this problem, a person is formed with a deviant and asocial type of behavior, incapable of analyzing facts and a holistic perception of the surrounding reality. According to some reports, the aggressiveness of primary school children is a serious problem in modern schools (Colonnese *et al.*, 2011; Centifanti *et al.*, 2016).

The mental health of primary school and preschool children is a complex concept. This includes the following components (Deneault & Ricard, 2013):

- (i) simultaneous development of the child's inner world and the mechanisms of the psyche that determine this interaction with the outside world;
- (ii) harmony with the external world and the inner self; coordination of actions in response to stimuli from the external world;
- (iii) perception of peers from the social circle in an open, disinterested form; a dynamic contact of emotional origin;
- (iv) mental health of the child is not constant, since the personality is a dynamic system that has its own mechanisms of development and self-organization;
- (v) impossibility of applying any single criterion to all individuals, since each person is one of a kind and they are unique;
- (vii) adequacy of the emotions expressed by the child depends on his emotional background and experiences;
- (viii) a child, interacting with a loved one, develops a subjective position that determines his activity and mental health;

Thus, parents also have a significant impact on the mental health of preschool and primary school children.

There are few works using an integrated approach to the study of the mental health of primary and preschool children. Basically, researches consider these two age groups separately (Murberg, 2010; Ozkol *et al.*, 2011). For a full understanding of what processes occur during the transition from one age group to another, as well as from one environment (kindergarten) to another (school), it is necessary to comprehensively study the educational and cognitive activity (academic performance), aggressive behavior, and the attitude of parents towards success or failure of a child. This article attempts to do this. The academic performance and aggressiveness influence the mental health of a child. The academic performance can be associated with generally accepted norms of behavior. The aggressiveness determines the deviant development of the personality in the future. The aim of the work is to carry out a comparative analysis of changes in mental health among preschool and primary school children under a psychological and pedagogical experiment. The objectives of the study included: (i) to study the dynamics of changes in mental health among children attending kindergartens; (ii) to conduct a similar analysis among primary school children; (iii) to assess prevailing factors in each of the age groups; (iv) to assess these factors in groups of children who spent their leisure time playing computer games or on the Internet (watching videos, cartoons, etc...).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Materials

The study was conducted in 2018–2019, during the academic year (from January to June and from September to December). The study involved 250 preschool children, 125 boys

and girls each (average age of boys  $5.1 \pm 0.5$  years, girls  $5.0 \pm 0.3$  years). The study was conducted at 12 state kindergartens in Moscow (Russian Federation). All children were in the same conditions guaranteed by the instructions of the RF Ministry of Education. Similarly, the study involved 200 primary school children of the first grade (100 girls and 100 boys, average age  $7.1 \pm 0.6$  for boys, and  $7.3 \pm 0.4$  for girls). The study was simultaneously conducted at three schools in Moscow. The students were also in identical conditions. The study involved parents of preschool children (253 people in total) and primary school children (208 people). After a survey of parents, children were divided into groups, according to the time spent on the Internet, watching videos and playing video games. The first group included children from kindergarten who spent almost all their leisure time on the Internet or playing video games (101 people, 56 boys, and 45 girls). The second experimental group includes children, whose leisure time on the Internet took no more than 15 min a day on weekdays and up to 30 min on weekends. The second group included 149 children, 69 boys, and 80 girls. The third group include primary school children who spent almost all their leisure on the Internet (89 people, 53 boys, and 36 girls). The fourth group includes 111 children (64 girls and 47 boys) whose leisure time on the Internet took no more than 15 min a day. Thus, the control groups were the second and the fourth, the experimental groups were the first and the third among preschool and primary school children.

### Study design

The inclusion criteria are: (i) the identity of the educational conditions of children; (ii) compliance with the age criterion; (iii) the absence of mental or physical abnormalities. Therefore, the study did not include kindergartens specializing in the development of children with hearing, speech, vision, or physical and mental disorders.

Informed consent was signed by parents of the children. Thus, parents gave their consent to the processing of information, subject to the anonymity of the participants. This study was conducted in accordance with international practice of ethical and moral standards.

### Research methods

The study used data on children, obtained from kindergarten and primary school teachers, as well as psychologists in kindergartens and general education schools.

The observation method was used during both the learning process (in the classroom), and leisure time (at recess in schools and in the playrooms in kindergartens). In total, more than 300 and 255 classes were attended at school and kindergartens, respectively.

The survey was conducted among parents of the children. The parents indicated their satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the program of the school or kindergarten, pointed out the possible causes of child aggression, pointed out the time each child spends on the Internet or playing computer games.

The academic progress was determined based on the average score. There are three grades of academic performance: high (average score above 10 points), average (from seven to nine), and low (up to seven points). This category includes children of primary school who have systematic lessons.

The social and general education lessons were provided for children on the second year. The parents also had 51 lessons on the second academic year. The lessons included the basics of child psychology, the improvement of deviant behavior (aggression) in a family, as well as methods of limiting the time spent playing computer and video games, and watching cartoons and entertainment video content on the Internet (primarily on the YouTube service).

The aggressiveness is understood as behavior when a child performs destructive actions causing physical or other harm to people, animals, plants, or inanimate objects. There are the following types of aggression: physical (causing physical damage as a result of a fight, bites, pinching, etc...) and direct when it is directed against a specific person. Moreover, there is verbal aggression, mainly, the use of obscene words, offensive nicknames. Indirect aggression is associated with damage without the direct participation of the opponent: spreading rumors, damaging property, and inciting other children to act aggressively against a particular child. The instrumental aggression aimed at taking possession of some objects belonging to another child. The instrumental aggression is directly related to the emergence and consolidation of the concept of "friend or foe." The altruistic aggression is when a child protects the other from the aggressive actions of children. Finally, auto-aggression is an aggression directed against oneself, while the child may self-harm or deliberately engage in self-deprecation.


### Statistical analysis

The data were processed with the Microsoft Excel 2016 database (Microsoft Inc., USA). Further data processing was carried out using the statistical analysis program Statistica v. 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., USA). Data (types of aggression, parental responses, and academic performance) are presented in the number of participants (the number of children in each category, by signs of aggressiveness) and in percentage (parental responses to the questionnaire, distribution of children by academic performance). The correlation was calculated according to Spearman's rank. The differences were determined by the Mann-Whitney test. The data were significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

The significant improvement of student performance has been achieved (Figure 1). Thus, the average academic performance had no significant changes ( $p \geq 0.05$ ). Meanwhile, the number of students with high academic performance significantly increased by 1.4 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) from 2018 to 2019.

There were no significant changes for children with low academic performance. The number decreased by 1.3 times in



**Figure 1:** Change in the academic performance of primary school children in 2018–2019 (in %)

2019 ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). The increase in the number of students with high academic performance was due to students with average academic performance. A part of the students with low academic performance moved to average academic performance group. Apparently, the groups with low and high academic performance are more mobile. Meanwhile, due to the group of students with average academic performance, the number of students from the first two groups changes.

An analysis of aggression among preschool and primary school children showed significant differences between the four groups (Figure 2, 3).

The predominant types of aggression for preschoolers are instrumental, verbal, and also indirect (Figure 2). Thus, the


level of aggression in the control group decreased during 2018–2019.

The instrumental aggression decreased by 1.6 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ), indirect aggression 1.2 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), and verbal aggression 1.2 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the experimental group. More significant changes were recorded in the control. Thus, the instrumental aggression decreased by 1.7 times ( $p \leq 0.01$  compared with 2018), indirect 1.9 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ), and verbal 1.4 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ).


There is a significant difference between the control and experimental groups throughout the entire study period. The level of aggression of preschoolers is 1.3–1.6 times higher in the experimental group than in the control group ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Thus, the experimental group children are more aggressive than the control group children, even after psychological and pedagogical lessons.

Similar trends were found among children of primary school age (Figure 3).

Thus, the level of aggression also decreased in the experimental and control groups during 2018 to 2019. In the control group, verbal, indirect and direct aggression prevailed. In 2019, their level decreased by 1.4 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), 1.6 times ( $p \leq 0.01$ ), and 1.5 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), respectively. The same indicators in the experimental group decreased to a lesser extent (1.2 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), 1.2 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), and 1.3 times ( $p \leq 0.05$ )). Thus, a less significant decrease in aggression was obtained in the experiment compared with the control group. Some children from both age groups had several types of ag-



**Figure 2:** Levels of aggression (in %) among preschoolers of the control and experimental groups in 2018–2019



**Figure 3:** Levels of aggression (in %) among primary school children of the control and experimental groups in 2018–2019

gression, for example, direct and indirect, instrumental and verbal.

Parents from the control group initially (in 2018) were more responsible and better at compromising (Figure 4).

Majority of parents only partially admitted the fact of children's aggression, or did not take this factor seriously. Moreover, most parents shift their responsibility to kindergarten and primary school teachers. The attitude of the parents changed after the lectures and meetings with psychologists at the time of 2019. There were 1.2 times more parents willing to cooperate ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the group of preschoolers and 1.4 times in the group of primary school children ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). The number of aggressively minded parents decreased in both age groups by 1.2 and 1.4 times, respectively. There are differences between the answers of parents of preschool children in the control and experimental groups. The parents of the control group were 1.2–1.5 times more cooperative and admitted their mistakes in raising children compared with the experimental group ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). The same trend was observed for the parents of primary school children.

The correlation between the aggressive behavior of the parents and the aggression in children was 0.7 in the control and 0.9 in the experiment.


The initial division into groups based on the results of a survey was successful. The children who spent all their free time playing video games and on the Internet were more aggressive. Moreover, they are hard to educate. Parents of such chil-

dren are reluctant to admit their mistakes and to cooperate with specialists.

## DISCUSSION

The data obtained prove that the child's aggression is associated with the time spent on the Internet and the attitude of their parents. Children, with verbal aggression, used profanity not to demonstrate their "knowledge" in this area, but to assign offensive nicknames, negative characteristics, actions, or failures. Some parents deny the problem and consider normal for the child to use foul language. Some blame their relatives or neighbors. This is a permissive education (Navarro *et al.*, 2011; Aznar & Tenenbaum, 2013). The reason may be that parents grew up in the conditions of a severe economic crisis in the late 90s of the last century occurred on the territory of the former USSR. Nowadays, parents often try to distract the child's attention with the help of the Internet or the video games.

The improvement of academic performance and the reduction of the aggression were achieved through the joint work of teachers, psychologists, and parents. Admitting the aggressive behavior and inability to solve problems were important for improving children's mental health (Bassett *et al.*, 2012; Devine & Hughes, 2013). Moreover, the aggressive behavior is associated the child's leisure, namely, the constant presence surfing on the Internet and playing video games. The child loses touch with reality and cannot adequately respond to external stimuli. This correlation between aggressive be-



**Figure 4:** The attitude of parents toward aggression among (in %)

havior and spending time on the Internet has been studied in other works. In particular, children spending more time on the Internet (mainly, social networks) become lethargic, indecisive, live in fear of external stimuli in adolescence (Ensor *et al.*, 2011; Ensink *et al.*, 2017). Thus, it leads to nervousness, then hysteria or outright aggression. Such “socialization” is the main cause of bullying and other forms of aggressive interactions among adolescent children. The instrumental aggression and verbal aggression prevail among preschool and primary school children. This is primarily due to the fact that children, when moving from kindergarten to school, find themselves in a stressful situation. Aggressiveness of children is not only a problem of school or kindergarten, but also a problem of the whole society. Therefore, it is necessary to improve the mental health of children at any educational institution.

## CONCLUSION

The conducted research confirmed the importance of complex actions in reducing the aggressiveness and improving the mental health of children. The joint work of psychologists, parents, and children themselves gave positive results. The children in the control groups had significantly reduced aggressiveness faster by 1.4–1.6 times, on average, compared with the experimental groups (1.2 times,  $p \leq 0.05$ ). The aggressiveness of children is associated with lack of parents’ attention that leads to uncontrolled surfing on the Internet and playing video games. The experimental group children demonstrated

aggressive behavior 1.5–1.7 times more frequently than children from the control group ( $p \leq 0.01$ ). The survey of parents showed the same tendency. Thus, connivance of aggression and an aggressive reaction to communication with teachers were more significant (0.5–0.7 times,  $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the experimental group compared with the control group. The improvement of the behavior of children was successful already after 1 year of the experiment. The academic performance improved, while aggressiveness decreased in all groups.

## REFERENCES

- Abate A, Marshall K, Sharp C, Venta A. Trauma and aggression: Investigating the mediating role of mentalizing in female and male inpatient adolescents. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*. 2017;48(6): 881–890.
- Ahn H-J, Rodkin PC. Classroom-level predictors of the social status of aggression: Friendship centralization, friendship density, teacher-student attunement, and gender. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2014;106(4):1144–1155.
- Altermatt ER. Capitalizing on academic success: Students’ interaction with friends as prediction of school adjustment. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*. 31;174–203. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0272431610379414>.
- Aznar A, Tenenbaum HR. Spanish parents’ emotion talk and their children’s understanding of emotion. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2013;4(SEP):1–11.
- Baker ER, Tisak MS, Tisak J. What can boys and girls do? Preschoolers’ perspectives regarding gender roles across domains of behavior. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2016;19(1):23–39. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11218-015-9320-z>.
- Bassett HH, Denham SA, Mincic M, Graling K. The structure of preschoolers’ emotion knowledge: Model equivalence and validity using a structural equation modeling approach. *Early Education and Development*. 2012;23(3):259–279.

- Centifanti LM, Meins E, Fernyhough C. Callous-unemotional traits and impulsivity: Distinct longitudinal relations with mind-mindedness and understanding of others. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2016;57(1):84–92.
- Colonesi C, Draijer EM, Jan JM Stams G, Van der Bruggen CO, Bögels SM, Noom MJ. The relation between insecure attachment and child anxiety: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*. 2011;40(4):630–645.
- Deneault J, Ricard M. Are emotion and mind understanding differently linked to young children's social adjustment? Relationships between behavioral consequences of emotions, false belief, and SCBE. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology*. 2013;174(1):88–116.
- Denham SA, Bassett HH, Thayer SK, Mincic MS, Sirotkin YS, Zinsler K. Observing preschoolers' social-emotional behavior: Structure, foundations, and prediction of early school success. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology*. 2012;173:246–278.
- Devine RT, Hughes C. Silent films and strange stories: Theory of mind, sex, and social experiences in middle childhood. *Child Development*. 2013;84(3):989–1003.
- Ensink K, Bégin M, Normandin L, Godbout N, Fonagy P. Mentalization and dissociation in the context of trauma: Implications for child psychopathology. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*. 2017;18(1):11–30.
- Ensor R, Spencer D, Hughes C. "You feel sad?" Emotion understanding mediates effects of verbal ability and mother-child mutuality on prosocial behaviors: Findings from 2 years to 4 years. *Social Development*. 2011;20(1):93–110.
- Gregoriadis A, Grammatikopoulos V. Teacher-child relationship quality in early childhood education: The importance of relationship patterns. *Early Child Development and Care*. 2014;184:386–402. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2013.790383>.
- Groh AM, Roisman GI, van IJzendoorn MH, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Fearon RP. The significance of insecure and disorganized attachment for children's internalizing symptoms: A meta-analytic study. *Child Development*. 2012;83(2):591–610.
- Harris PL, de Rosnay M, Pons F. Understanding emotion. In *Handbook of Emotions*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2000. p. 281–292.
- Hernández MM, Eisenberg N, Valiente C, VanSchyndel SK, Spinrad TL, Silva KM, *et al.* Emotional expression in school context, social relationships, and academic adjustment in kindergarten. *Emotion*. 2016;16(4), 553.
- Kokkinos CM, Kipritsi E. The relationship between bullying, victimization, trait emotional intelligence, self-efficacy and empathy among preadolescents. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2012;15(1):41–58. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11218-011-9168-9>.
- Laurent G, Hecht HK, Ensink K, Borelli JL. Emotional understanding, aggression, and social functioning among preschoolers. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*. 2020;90(1):9–21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ort0000377>.
- Margaryan EG, Mamedov Ad A, Mazurina LA, Volkov UO, Stebeleva UV, Arakelyan MG, *et al.* Cluster approach in presurgical orthodontical preparation for treatment of patients with the unilateral cleft lip and palate. *The New Armenian Medical Journal*. 2020;14(1):59–66.
- Morine KA, Crothers LM, Schreiber JB, Kolbert JB, Hughes TL, Schmitt AJ. Relational aggression in preschool students: An exploration of the variables of sex, age, and siblings. *Child Development Research*, 2011; 1-7.
- Mouratidou K, Karamavrou S, Karatza S, Schillinger M. Aggressive and socially insecure behaviors in kindergarten and elementary school students: A comparative study concerning gender, age and geographical background of children in Northern Greece. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2020;23(1):259–277.
- Murberg TA. The role of personal attributes and social support factors on passive behavior in classroom among secondary school students: A prospective study. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2010;13(4):511–522. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11218-010-9123-1>.
- Navarro R, Larrañaga E, Yubero S. Bullying-victimization problems and aggressive tendencies in Spanish secondary school students: The role of gender stereotypical traits. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2011;14:457–473. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11218-011-9163-1>.
- Ozkol H, Zucker M, Spinazzola J. Pathways to aggression in urban elementary school youth. *Journal of Community Psychology*. 2011;39: 733–748. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcop.20464>.
- Rekalidou G, Petrogiannis K. Criteria for selection and rejection of social relationships among children in urban and rural kindergartens in Greece. *International Journal of Primary, Elementary and Early Years Education*. 2012; 40: 173–188. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2010.506193>.
- Runions K, Shaw T. Teacher-child relationship, child withdrawal and aggression in the development of peer victimization. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. 2013; 34:319–327.
- Timoshin AV, Sevbitov AV, Drobot GV, Yumashev AV, Timoshina MD. Use of bioresorbable plates on the basis of collagen and digestase for treatment of diseases of oral mucosa (review of clinical cases). *International Journal of Green Pharmacy*. 2018;12(S1):290–296.
- Tisak M, Tisak J, Laurene K. Children's judgments of social interactive behaviors with peers: The influence of age and gender. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2012;15:555–570. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11218-012-9194-2>.
- Tsorbatzoudis H, Travlos AK, Rodafnos A. Gender and age differences in self-reported aggression of high school students. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*. 2013;28:1709–1725. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0886260512468323>.
- Young-Jones A, Fursa S, Byrket JS, Sly JS. Bullying affects more than feelings: The long-term implications of victimization on academic motivation in higher education. *Social Psychology of Education*. 2015;18:185–200. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11218-014-9287-1>.
- Zhang G, Zhao S, Liang Z, Li D, Chen H, Chen X. Social interactions with familiar and unfamiliar peers in Chinese children: Relations with social, school, and psychological adjustment. *International Perspectives in Psychology: Research, Practice, Consultation*. 2015;4(4):239–253. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ipp0000038>.