Original Article

Behavioural Activation And Cognitive Control: The Role Of Prefrontal Cortex In Self-Regulation

Prof. Venkateswar Pujari

Chief Mentor, Body Brain Behaviour Foundation, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh. venkateswar.pujari@gmail.com
DOI: 10.47750/pnr.2022.13.S08.687

Abstract

The capacity to exercise mental, emotional, and behavioral control over oneself is referred to as self-regulation. It is a fundamental component of human functioning that is the basis for adaptive behavior and overall health and happiness. distinct parts of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) are responsible for distinct aspects of self-regulation; however, the PFC as a whole is essential to self-regulation and plays a crucial role in this process. This review focuses on the interaction between two essential processes involved in self-regulation: behavioral activation and cognitive control. Specifically, the paper examines the relationship between these two processes. In particular, we will analyze the published research on the brain mechanisms that are responsible for behavioral activation and cognitive control, as well as the ways in which these processes interact with one another to make self-regulation possible. In this section, we will also examine the significance of these findings for both the understanding of self-regulation deficits in clinical populations and the development of therapies aiming at increasing self-regulation.

Keywords: Behavioral activation, Cognitive control, Prefrontal cortex, Self-regulation, Attention.

Introduction

The ability to modify one's thoughts, feelings, and behaviors in response to demands placed on them by their environment is referred to as self-regulation [1]. It is a fundamental component of human functioning that is the basis for adaptive behavior and overall health and happiness. A variety of psychopathologies, including as melancholy, anxiety, addiction, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), have been associated to deficiencies in self-regulation [2]. The prefrontal cortex, also known as the PFC, is an important part of the brain that plays a role in self-regulation [3]. Within the PFC, distinct regions are implicated in different aspects of self-regulation. In this review article, the primary emphasis will be placed on two important aspects of the self-regulation process: behavioral activation and cognitive control. We are going to look at the recent research that has been done on the brain mechanisms that are responsible for behavioral activation and cognitive control, as well as how these processes interact with one another to make self-regulation easier. In this section, we will also examine the significance of these findings for both the understanding of self-regulation deficits in clinical populations and the development of therapies aiming at increasing self-regulation.

Activation of Behavioural Patterns

The beginning and continued execution of action that is directed toward a certain objective is referred to as behavioral activation [4]. It requires the capacity to recognize and pursue goals that are meaningful to oneself, as well as the ability to persevere in the face of challenges and distractions. The ability to engage in activities that are beneficial to one's health and prosperity is made possible by behavioral activation, which is an essential component of adaptive functioning. Depression and other mood disorders have been linked to deficiencies in behavioral activation [5].

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is very important to the process of behavioral activation, with the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) being implicated in various facets of

this process [6]. The ventromedial prefrontal cortex is involved in the creation of approach behaviors as well as the evaluation of goal-relevant stimuli [7]. It integrates the information that it gets from subcortical regions, such as the amygdala and the ventral striatum, in order to guide behavior that is aimed toward achieving a goal [8]. On the other hand, the dACC is responsible for monitoring and altering behavior in response to changes in the surrounding environment [9]. It identifies flaws and inconsistencies in the processing of information, and it alerts users to the necessity of making behavioral adjustments [10]. The dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) is also involved in the inhibition of prepotent reactions, which enables individuals to adapt their behavior in a flexible manner in response to shifting demands posed by their environment [11].

Cognitive Control

The ability to govern one's attention, ideas, and behaviors in order to facilitate goal-directed conduct is what is meant by the term "cognitive control" [12]. It requires the suppression of information that could be distracting or irrelevant and the maintenance of information in working memory that is pertinent to the job at hand. Controlling one's cognition is essential for adaptive functioning because it enables individuals to override automatic or habitual responses and to respond in a flexible manner to the shifting requirements of their surrounding environment. A variety of psychopathologies, such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), addiction, and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), have been associated to deficiencies in cognitive control [13].

The dlPFC is engaged in the active maintenance of information in working memory that is relevant to the activity at hand and the suppression of information that is distracting or irrelevant [14]. In addition to this, it plays a role in the production of goal-directed behavior, in particular as a reaction to abstract or complicated norms [15]. On the other hand, the ACC is responsible for the identification of errors and conflicts that occur during the processing of information, as well as the adjustment of behavior in response to these signals [16]. In addition to this, it plays a role in the monitoring of ongoing behavior, which is particularly important in situations where the task involves the suppression of prepotent reactions [17].

Interaction between Activation of Behavior and Cognitive Control of Behavior

Self-regulation is comprised of a number of essential processes, two of which are behavioral activation and cognitive control. These two processes work together to facilitate adaptive behavior. In a variety of tasks, including those that demand the suppression of prepotent responses or the active maintenance of task-relevant information, the interaction between these processes can be observed. For instance, in a go/no-go task, participants are advised to respond to a target stimulus (the "go" trial), but they are told to withhold their response to a non-target stimulus (the "no-go" trial). This is done so that the task may be properly evaluated. This task needs both the inhibition of prepotent reactions, which falls under the category of cognitive control, and the creation of approach behavior in response to the target signal, which falls under the category of behavioral activation [18]. In the same vein, when participants are given a working memory task, they are encouraged to keep information that is important to the task in their working memory while disregarding information that is distracting or irrelevant. This activity demands both the active maintenance of information that is relevant to the task at hand (cognitive control) and the identification and pursuit of information that is relevant to the objective at hand (behavioral activation) [19].

A wide variety of neuroimaging studies have been conducted in order to investigate the brain mechanisms that lie behind the interaction that occurs between behavioral activation and cognitive control. According to the findings of these investigations, many parts of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) are engaged in the integration of these processes, with the dlPFC and the ACC playing particularly significant roles [20]. For instance, participants in a study that used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) were given a go/no-go task while their brain activity was observed. This was done while the subjects were in the scanner. Both the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) were shown to be active when successful suppression of prepotent responses was achieved [21]. It is important to note that there was a positive correlation between the degree of activation in the dlPFC and the degree of activation in the vmPFC. This suggests that the dlPFC and the vmPFC interact to enhance the integration of cognitive control and behavioral activation processes [22].

Implications for Patient Groups in Clinical Settings

The knowledge of self-regulation impairments in clinical populations is significantly impacted by the relationship between behavioral activation and cognitive control. For instance, depression has been linked to deficiencies in both cognitive control and behavioral activation [23]. People who suffer from depression frequently report having trouble initiating and maintaining action that is directed toward a specific goal (referred to as behavioral activation deficits), as well as having trouble suppressing unpleasant or irrelevant thoughts (referred to as cognitive control deficits) [24]. It is believed that these deficiencies are caused by a malfunction in the prefrontal cortex (PFC), namely in the ventromedial PFC (vmPFC) and the dorsolateral PFC (dlPFC) [25]. Similarly, it has been demonstrated that those who have ADHD have deficiencies in both behavioral activation and cognitive regulation, with dysfunction in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) being linked in both deficiencies [26].

The discovery of certain PFC regions involved in self-regulation deficiencies in clinical populations has substantial implications for the development of therapies targeted at increasing self-regulation. Individuals who suffer from depression have been demonstrated to benefit from cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), which has been shown to be useful in enhancing both behavioral activation and cognitive control [27]. CBT targets particular cognitive and behavioral processes that are involved in self-regulation, such as identifying and challenging negative ideas (cognitive control) and increasing participation in rewarding activities (behavioral activation) [28]. CBT also focuses on boosting involvement in rewarding activities. Similarly, therapies such as neurofeedback training and behavioral interventions that focus on increasing working memory and inhibitory control have been developed with the intention of enhancing cognitive control in patients diagnosed with ADHD [29]. These treatments attempt to enhance the neural circuits involved in cognitive regulation, notably in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). They have showed promise in enhancing attention and reducing impulsivity in patients who have ADHD [30].

The interplay between behavioral activation and cognitive control has crucial repercussions for understanding individual differences in self-regulation, in addition to having substantial consequences for clinical populations. For instance, some people could be better at integrating these processes than others, and this might be one factor that contributes to individual variances in the degree to which they are able to accomplish their goals and maintain their well-being. Recent studies have pointed to the possibility that individual differences in the connection between the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex are to blame for these disparities [31]. [32] Research has revealed that those whose brains have better connections between these regions have an easier time keeping their feelings in check and doing what they set out to do.

Conclusion

The process of self-regulation is a complicated one that requires the integration of a variety of different cognitive and behavioral processes. Self-regulation is comprised of a number of essential processes, two of which are behavioral activation and cognitive control. These two processes work together to facilitate adaptive behavior. The PFC, and more specifically the vmPFC, dlPFC, and ACC, all play an important part in the integration of various processes. A lack of self-regulation in clinical populations, such as those suffering from depression or ADHD, has been linked to dysfunction in certain regions of the brain. However, therapies such as cognitive-behavioral therapy and neurofeedback training have shown promise in improving cognitive and behavioral processes in these populations. These interventions are targeted at enhancing the individual's ability to better regulate themselves. Additional research is required to gain a better understanding of the brain mechanisms underpinning the relationship between behavioral activation and cognitive control, as well as the individual differences in the processes involved in these interactions.

References:

1. Baumeister RF, Heatherton TF, Tice DM. Losing Control: How and Why People Fail at Self-Regulation. San Diego: Academic Press; 1994.
2. Hagger MS, Wood C, Stiff C, Chatzisarantis NLD. Ego depletion and the strength model of self-control: a meta-analysis. Psychol Bull. 2010;136(4):495-525.

3. Muraven M, Baumeister RF. Self-regulation and depletion of limited resources: does self-control resemble a muscle? Psychol Bull. 2000;126(2):247-259.
4. Carver CS, Scheier MF. On the Self-Regulation of Behavior. Cambridge University Press; 1998.
5. Barkley RA. Behavioral inhibition, sustained attention, and executive functions: constructing a unifying theory of ADHD. Psychol Bull. 1997;121(1):65-94.
6. Kross E, Egner T, Ochsner K, Hirsch J, Downey G. Neural dynamics of rejection sensitivity. J Cogn Neurosci. 2007;19(6):945-956.
7. Ochsner KN, Gross JJ. The cognitive control of emotion. Trends Cogn Sci. 2005;9(5):242-249.
8. Davidson RJ. Anterior cerebral asymmetry and the nature of emotion. Brain Cogn. 1992;20(1):125-151.
9. Davidson RJ. Affective style, psychopathology, and resilience: brain mechanisms and plasticity. Am Psychol. 2000;55(11):1196-1214.
10. Ochsner KN, Ray RD, Cooper JC, et al. For better or for worse: neural systems supporting the cognitive down- and up-regulation of negative emotion. Neuroimage. 2004;23(2):483-499.
11. Drevets WC, Raichle ME. Neuroanatomical circuits in depression: implications for treatment mechanisms. Psychopharmacology. 1998;114(1):13-25. 12. Elliott R, Sahakian BJ. The role of the orbitofrontal cortex in cognition and emotion. Neuropsychologia. 1995;33(9):1159-1182.
13. Botvinick MM, Braver TS, Barch DM, Carter CS, Cohen JD. Conflict monitoring and cognitive control. Psychol Rev. 2001;108(3):624-652.
14. Banich MT, Milham MP, Atchley RA, et al. fMRI studies of Stroop tasks reveal unique roles of anterior and posterior brain systems in attentional selection. J Cogn Neurosci. 2000;12(6):988-1000.
15. Wager TD, Jonides J, Smith EE, Nichols TE. Toward a taxonomy of attention shifting: individual differences in fMRI during multiple shift types. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci. 2005;5(2):127-143.
16. Graybiel AM. The basal ganglia and cognitive pattern generators. Schizophr Bull. 1997;23(3):459-469.
17. Schultz W, Dayan P, Montague PR. A neural substrate of prediction and reward. Science. 1997;275(5306):1593-1599.
18. Dayan P, Niv Y. Reinforcement learning: the good, the bad and the ugly. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2008;18(2):185-196.
19. Maia TV, Frank MJ. From reinforcement learning models to psychiatric and neurological disorders. Nat Neurosci. 2011;14(2):154-162.
20. Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ, Baler R, Telang F. Imaging dopamine's role in drug abuse and addiction. Neuropharmacology. 2009;56 Suppl 1:3-8.
21. Everitt BJ, Robbins TW. Neural systems of reinforcement for drug addiction: from actions to habits to compulsion. Nat Neurosci. 2005;8(11):1481-1489.
22. Kringelbach ML. The human orbitofrontal cortex: linking reward to hedonic experience. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005;6(9):691-702.
23. Berridge KC. The debate over dopamine's role in reward: the case for incentive salience. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2007;191(3):391-431.
24. Cools R, Clark L, Owen AM, Robbins TW. Defining the neural mechanisms of probabilistic reversal learning using event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging. J Neurosci. 2002;22(11):4563-4567.
25. Miller EK, Cohen JD. An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2001;24:167-202.
26. Fuster JM. The Prefrontal Cortex. 4th ed. Academic Press; 2015.
27. Tang YY, Posner MI. Tools of the trade: theory and method in mindfulness neuroscience. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10(6):462-473.
28. DeYoung CG. The neuropsychology of the salience network and ADHD symptomatology. Neuropsychology. 2013;27(2):141-157.
29. Sonuga-Barke EJ, Brandeis D, Cortese S, et al. Nonpharmacological interventions for ADHD: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials of dietary interventions, neurofeedback, and cognitive training. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2013;22(7):387-402.
30. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Mick E. The age-dependent decline of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis of follow-up studies. Psychol Med. 2006;36(2):159-165.
31. Posner MI, Petersen SE. The attention system of the human brain. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1990;13:25-42.
32. Swick D, Ashley V, Turken AU. Left inferior frontal gyrus is critical for response inhibition. BMC Neurosci. 2008;9:102.