

FUZZY β -SETS AND FUZZY β S -SETS IN FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Sarah Haider Abdel-Aali¹, Neeran Tahir Abd Alameer²

¹First Part of Thesis, Mathematics Department, Education for Girls Faculty, Kufa University, Iraq.

²M.Sc-Student, Part of Thesis, Mathematics Department, Education for Girls Faculty, Kufa University, Iraq.

DOI: 10.47750/pnr.2023.14.02.237

Abstract

Some new kinds of fuzzy subsets are defined such as the definition of fuzzy β - sets and fuzzy β s- set. Moreover, some properties, theorems and certain relations between them are proved., some interesting properties of fuzzy β - compactness are investigated. The purpose of this paper is devoted to introduce and study the concepts of β -compactness and β -closed spaces in fuzzy setting., A fuzzy set is one to which objects can belong to different degrees, called grades of membership. The fuzzy set as a number ranging from zero (absolutely false) to 1 (absolutely true). Before dealing with fuzzy systems preliminary definitions should be known. In these sections we will illustrate some important definitions and concepts.

Keywords: Fuzzy, β s- Set, Properties, Andrjvic, Topology.

INTRODUCTION

In many studies introduced the definitions of fuzzy sets and proved some their operations in his research for the first time in 1965. Andrjvic [1-4] established the definition of b-open sets in general topological space in 1969. Furthermore, in general topology and fuzzy topology, there are many researchers studied [5-9] different kinds of generalizations of continuous functions. Chang [10-13] defined the concept of fuzzy topological space in 1968. In this research, Section 3 we introduce the class of fuzzy β - sets and many studies [14-19] of their properties [20-22]. Additionally, in Section four we define the notion of fuzzy (β s- set and prove some theorems [23-25] and their many propositions [26-28].

SECTIONS

Preliminaries

In this section, we illustrate some basic definition and results.

Definition 2.1.[1] A family \mathfrak{T} of fuzzy sets in μ is called fuzzy topology if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) $0, 1 \in \mathfrak{T}$
- (ii) $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{T}$, then $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{T}$;
- (iii) If $\{\mu_j; j \in J\} \subset \mathfrak{T}$, where J denotes an index set, then $\bigvee \mu_j \in \mathfrak{T}$.

The pair (μ, \mathfrak{T}) is named as a fuzzy topological space or shortly f. t.s. The members of \mathfrak{T} are defined as \mathfrak{T} - open fuzzy set. If the complement of \wp which denoted by \wp^c is \mathfrak{T} -open then an element $\wp \in [0,1]^X$ is said to be closed fuzzy set.

Definition 2.2. [9] A fuzzy set F in a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) is said to be fuzzy b-open set if $F \leq (\text{int}(\text{cl}(F)) \vee (\text{cl}(\text{int}(F)))$ and we can denote by fb-open

Definition 2.3.[9] A fuzzy set F in a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) is said to be fuzzy b-closed set if $F \geq (\text{int}(\text{cl}(F)) \wedge (\text{cl}(\text{int}(F)))$

Theorem 2.4.[9] For a fuzzy set F in a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) if F is fuzzy b-open set if and only if $1-F$ is a fuzzy b-closed set.

Theorem 2.5. [6] For fuzzy set F in a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) , F is fuzzy b-closed set if and only if $1-F$ is a fuzzy b-open set.

Definition 2.6.[6] Let F be fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) . Then its fuzzy b-closure is defined by $\text{Fbcl}(F) = \bigwedge \{L \geq F : L \text{ is a fb-closed set of } (\mu, \mathfrak{X})\}$.

Definition 2.7.[6] let F be fuzzy set in fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) . Then its fuzzy b-interior is defined by $\text{Fbint}(F) = \bigvee \{G \leq F : G \text{ is a fb-open set of } (\mu, \mathfrak{X})\}$.

Theorem 2.8.[6] let F be any fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) Then:

- 1) $\text{Fbcl}(1-F) = 1 - \text{fb int}(F)$
- 2) $\text{Fbint}(1-F) = 1 - \text{fbcl}(F)$

Remarks 2.9.[6]

- 1) if F is a fuzzy subset of fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) . Then $\text{Fbcl}(F)$ is the smallest fb-closed set containing F . Thus $\text{Fbcl}(F) = F \vee (\text{int}(\text{cl}(F))) \wedge (\text{cl}(\text{int}(F)))$
- 2) if F is a fuzzy subset of fuzzy topological space (L, \mathfrak{X}) . Then $\text{Fbint}(F)$ is largest fb-open set containing F . Thus $\text{Fbint}(F) = F \wedge ((\text{int}(\text{cl}(F))) \vee (\text{cl}(\text{int}(F))))$

Theorem 2.10.[9] In a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X})

- 1) An arbitrary union of fb-open set is a fb-open set.
- 2) An arbitrary intersection of fb-closed set is a fb-closed set.

Theorem 2.11.[6] In a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) the following relations hold

- 1) $\text{Fbcl}(F \vee U) \geq \text{Fbcl}(F) \vee \text{Fbcl}(U)$
- 2) $\text{Fbcl}(F \wedge U) \leq \text{Fbcl}(F) \wedge \text{Fbcl}(U)$

Definition 2.12.[7] A fuzzy subset A of a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{X}) is said to be fuzzy Locally closed set if $A = U \wedge V$, where $U \in \mathfrak{X}$ and V is a fuzzy closed. The family of all fuzzy locally closed set is denoted by $\text{FLC}(\mu)$.

Definition 2.13.[7] The fuzzy topology $\mathcal{T} = \{0_\mu, 1_\mu, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\}$ on μ . Then $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{M} \wedge \mathcal{N}$ is a fuzzy locally closed set, but it can't be fuzzy open.

Proposition 2.15.[7] An intersection of a fuzzy locally closed set with any fuzzy open set is fuzzy locally closed.

Proposition 2.16.[7] If \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{V} are fuzzy locally closed subsets of f. t.s. (μ, \mathfrak{X}) , therefore $\mathcal{W} \wedge \mathcal{V}$ is fuzzy locally closed.

Proposition 2.17.[7] A fuzzy set \mathcal{W} in any f. t.s. (μ, \mathfrak{X}) is fuzzy locally closed if and only if $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{M} \wedge \mathcal{W}$ for some fuzzy open set \mathcal{M}

Definition 2.18. A space μ is called fuzzy b-closed space if every fb-open subsets of f. t.s. (μ, \mathfrak{X}) is fuzzy open sets.

Definition 2.18. A f. t.s. (μ, \mathfrak{X}) is said to be fuzzy extremally disconnected if the fuzzy closure of every fuzzy open subset of μ is fuzzy open.

Fuzzy β -sets

Definition 3.1. A subset A of a fuzzy topological space (μ, \mathfrak{T}) is said to be fuzzy β -set if A is fuzzy b-open and fuzzy locally closed set. The family of all β - sets is denoted by $F\beta_s(\mu)$. It is clear that $F\beta_s(\mu) = FLC(\mu, \mathfrak{T}) \wedge FBO(\mu, \mathfrak{T})$.

Proposition 3.2. Every open subset of topological space (μ, \mathfrak{T}) is $F\beta$ -set.

The proof is obvious.

Remark 3.3. The converse of Proposition (3.2) is not true in general.

Proposition 3.4. If μ is a submaximal space, then the union of any family of fuzzy β -sets is fuzzy β -set.

proof: let $\{A_\alpha\}, \alpha \in \Omega$ be a family of all fuzzy β - sets in f. t.s. (μ, \mathfrak{T}) . Since A_α is fuzzy β -set, $\forall \alpha \in \Omega$, so is fuzzy b - open, $\forall \alpha \in \Omega$. Therefore, $\{A_\alpha\}, \alpha \in \Omega$ is union of fb - open sets.

Hence, from Theorem (2.10), we get, $\bigvee A_\alpha$ is fuzzy b - open and since μ is submaximal space $\bigvee A_\alpha, \alpha \in \Omega$ is closed set. Therefore, $\{A_\alpha\}, \alpha \in \Omega$ is fuzzy β - set.

Proposition 3.5. The intersection of a fuzzy open set and fuzzy β -set is fuzzy β -set.

Proof: Let N be a fuzzy open set and M is fuzzy β - set in f. t.s. (μ, \mathfrak{T}) . Then by theorem (2.10), we get $N \wedge M$ is fuzzy b - open. Since M is fuzzy locally closed so there exist $U \in \mathfrak{T}$ and L is fuzzy closed subset in μ such that $M = U \wedge L$ since $N \wedge M = N \wedge (U \wedge L) = (N \wedge U) \wedge L$ is fuzzy locally closed (since $N \wedge U$ is fuzzy open). Hence, $N \wedge U$ is fuzzy β - set.

Remark 3.6. The intersection of two fuzzy β - set need not to be fuzzy β - set in general.

Theorem 3.7. Let (μ, \mathfrak{T}) be extremally disconnected fuzzy topological space. Then $A \leq \mu$ is fuzzy open if and only if A is fuzzy β - set.

Proof: The necessity proof is obvious.

To proof sufficiency, let A be fuzzy β -set then, A is fuzzy b-open and locally closed, so

$A \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \vee \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$ and $A = U \wedge \text{cl}(A)$ where, $U \in \mathfrak{T}$.

$A \leq U \wedge (\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \vee \text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$

$\leq [\text{int}(U \wedge \text{cl}(A))] \vee [U \wedge \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))]$

$= [\text{int}(U \wedge \text{cl}(A))] \vee [U \wedge \text{int}(A)]$

$\leq \text{int}(A) \vee [U \wedge \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))]$

$\leq \text{int}(A) \vee \text{int}[U \wedge \text{cl}(A)]$

$= \text{int}(A) \vee \text{int}(A) = \text{int}(A)$

Therefore, A is open

Fuzzy β_s - sets

Definition 4.1. A subset A of a f. t.s. (μ, \mathfrak{T}) is called a fuzzy β_s - set if $A \wedge B \in F\beta_s(\mu)$ for all $B \in F\beta_s(\mu)$ The class of all $F\beta_s$ - set in (μ, \mathfrak{T}) will be denoted by $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$.

Remark 4.2. we will denote to the complement of $F\beta_s$ - set be $1 - F\beta_s$ - set.

Theorem 4.3. If μ is submaximal space, then $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$ is a topology on μ .

Proof:

(1) Clearly \emptyset and $\mu \in \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$,

(2) Let $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. Then, $A_\alpha \wedge B \in F\beta_s(\mu)$ for all $B \in F\beta_s(\mu)$ and for each $\alpha \in \Omega$. Therefore, $(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Omega} A_\alpha) \wedge B = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Omega} \{A_\alpha \wedge B\}$ where $A_\alpha \wedge B$ is fuzzy β_s -set and since arbitrary union of $F\beta$ -set, It follows that $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Omega} \{A_\alpha \wedge B\}$ is $F\beta$ -set for each $B \in F\beta_s(\mu)$ and hence $(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Omega} A_\alpha) \wedge B$ is $F\beta_s$ -set. Therefore, $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Omega} A_\alpha \in \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$,

(3) Let $K, L \in \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. Then, $(K \wedge L) \wedge G = K \wedge (L \wedge G)$ for all $G \in F\beta_s(\mu)$, hence $K \wedge L \in \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. Therefore, from (1), (2) and we have $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$ is topology on μ

Theorem 4.4. If μ is a submaximal space, then $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} \subseteq F\beta_s(\mu)$.

Proof

Let $G \in \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$, then $G \wedge L \in F\beta_s(\mu)$ for all $B \in F\beta_s(\mu)$. particularly, if we put $B = \mu$, then we get $F \wedge \mu = F$ is β -set and $F \in F\beta_s(\mu)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} \subseteq F\beta_s(\mu)$.

Theorem 4.5. If μ is a submaximal space, then $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$.

proof:

let (μ, \mathfrak{T}) be topological space and consider $L \in \mathfrak{T}$. Then, from Proposition (3.5) for any fuzzy β -set G in μ we have $L \wedge G$ is fuzzy β -set. Therefore, L is $F\beta_s$ -set, so $L \in \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$.

Theorem 4.6. If topological space μ is a submaximal extremally disconnected, then $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} = F\beta_s(\mu) = \mathfrak{T}$.

proof:

It has been found from Theorem (4.4) that a $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} \subseteq F\beta_s(\mu)$. Now, we went to prove $F\beta_s(\mu) \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. Let $G \in F\beta_s(\mu)$, then G is fuzzy open set (Since μ is a submaximal extremally disconnected). Hence, by Theorem 4.5. $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$, so $G \in \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. Therefore, $F\beta_s(\mu) \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. Therefore, we get $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} = F\beta_s(\mu) = \mathfrak{T}$.

Theorem 4.7. Let (μ, \mathfrak{T}) is a submaximal Fb-space. Then, $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} = \mathfrak{T}$.

proof:

Let (μ, \mathfrak{T}) is fuzzy b-space and consider $L \in \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. But, from Theorem 4.4 we get,

$\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} \subseteq F\beta_s(\mu)$. Hence, L is a β -set. But μ is Fb-space. So that, L is fuzzy open set in μ and so $L \in \mathfrak{T}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}$ and since $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{T}_{F\beta_s} = \mathfrak{T}$.

CONCLUSION

In this research, we discussed in Sections we introduce the class of fuzzy β -sets and study some of their properties. Additionally, in Section 4 we define the notion of fuzzy β_s -set and prove some theorems and propositions.

Funding

Nil

Authors Contributions

The author carried out and contributed in all writing, analysis.

Conflicts of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this research

REFERENCES

1. Azad, K.K. On fuzzy semi continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 1981; 82(1): 14-32.
2. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 1965; 8(3): 338-353.
3. Biljana, K. Fuzzy B – open sets and Fuzzy B- separation axioms. *Filomat (Nis)*, 1999; 13: 115–128.
4. Benchalli, S.S., Karmel, J.J. On fuzzy b-open sets in fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 2010; 1(2): 103-273.
5. Chang, C.L. Fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 1968; 24(1): 182-190.
6. Andrijević, D. On b-open sets. *Matematički Vesnik*, 1996; 205: 59-64.
7. Saleh, S.M., Muhammad, S.H., Abo, A.A. Effect of Pooled and Flat Stepped Spillway on Energy Dissipation Using Computational Fluid Dynamics. *Tikrit Journal of Engineering Sciences*, 2022; 29(2): 75-79.
8. Harini, P., Dhanraj Ganapathy, Keerthi Sasanka, L., Vinay Sivaswamy. Awareness of Treatment Protocols with Myxedema Patients Among Dental College Students - A Survey. *ECB*. 2022; 11(8): 1-8.
9. Kaviyaselvi Gurumurthy, Dhanraj Ganapathy, Keerthi Sasanka, Vinay Sivaswamy. Awareness on The Effects of Quid Usage Among Dental Students. *ECB*. 2022; 11(8): 9-18.
10. Gain, P.K., Chakraborty, R.P., Pal, M. Characterization of some fuzzy subsets of fuzzy ideal topological spaces and decomposition of fuzzy continuity. *International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems*, 2012; 2(2): 149-161.
11. Mahmood, S.I. Weak Forms of Fuzzy N-Open Sets and Fuzzy-Sets in Fuzzy Topological Spaces. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2017; 2401-2411. Retrieved from <https://ijs.uobaghdad.edu.iq/index.php/eijs/article/view/109>
12. Kider, J.R., Mousa, J.I. The Fuzzy Length of Fuzzy Bounded Operator. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2017; 284-291. Retrieved from <https://ijs.uobaghdad.edu.iq/index.php/eijs/article/view/6161>
13. Hardan, S.A., Aules, W.A. Analysis of CFRP Confined Concrete Cylinders by using ABAQUS Software. *Tikrit Journal of Engineering Sciences*, 2022; 29(2): 28–40. <https://doi.org/10.25130/tjes.29.2.5>
14. Pao-Ming, P., Ying-Ming, L. Fuzzy topology. I. Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 1980; 76(2): 571-599.
15. Kadhm, A.E. Schauder Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Space. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2017; 58(1C), 490-496. Retrieved from <https://ijs.uobaghdad.edu.iq/index.php/eijs/article/view/6137>
16. Limantė, A., Vogrinc, N.P. Party Autonomy in the Context of Jurisdictional and Choice of Law Rules of Matrimonial Property Regulation. *Baltic Journal of Law & Politics*, 2020; 13(2): 135-158. <https://doi.org/10.2478/bjlp-2020-0014>
17. El-Sapa, S., Almoneef, A.A. (2022). The axisymmetric migration of an aerosol particle embedded in a Brinkmann medium of a couple stress fluid with slip regime. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2022; 15(4): 1566-1592. <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v15i4.4549>
18. Hasen, S.S., Kareem, R.S., Ali, H.A. Mathematical Analysis of Peristaltic Pumps for Fene-P model subject to Hall and Joule impact. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2022; 3141-3152. <https://doi.org/10.24996/ijs.2022.63.7.35>
19. Othman, N.S.I.A., Giap, S.G.E. The Relative Importance of Water Vapor Flux from the Perspective of Heat and Mass Movement. *CFD Letters*, 2022; 14(11): 40-48. <https://doi.org/10.37934/cfdl.14.11.4048>
20. Bikelis, S. Modeling the Patterns of Civil Confiscation: Balancing Effectiveness, Proportionality and the Right to Be Presumed Innocent. *Baltic Journal of Law & Politics*, 2020; 13(2): 24-48. <https://doi.org/10.2478/bjlp-2020-0010>
21. Deraman, R., Nawi, M.N.M., Mydin, M.A.O., Ismail, M.H., Nordin, N.D.M., Sari, M.W., Mohd-Danuri, M.S. Production of Roof Board Insulation Using Agricultural Wastes Towards Sustainable Building Material. *Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences*, 2022; 99(1): 66-89. <https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.99.1.6689>
22. Ahmed, D.F., Mohammed, A.M. Fuzzy Logic Control of Two Heated Tanks in Series. *Tikrit Journal of Engineering Sciences*, 2009; 16(3): 19-29. <https://doi.org/10.25130/tjes.16.3.02>
23. Civinskas, R., Gončiarova, N., Pivoras, S. The Application of Customer Service Standards and Street-Level Bureaucrats' Discretion in Lithuanian State Agencies. *Baltic Journal of Law & Politics*, 2020; 13(2): 109-134. <https://doi.org/10.2478/bjlp-2020-0013>
24. Permadi, N.V.A., Sugianto, E. CFD Simulation Model for Optimum Design of B-Series Propeller using Multiple Reference Frame (MRF). *CFD Letters*, 2022; 14(11): 22-39. <https://doi.org/10.37934/cfdl.14.11.2239>
25. Derbazi, C.H.O.U.K.R.I. Nonlinear sequential Caputo and Caputo-Hadamard fractional differential equations with dirichlet boundary conditions in banach spaces. *Kragujev. J. Math*, 2022; 46: 841-855.
26. Česnakas, G. You Shall Not Pass: The Strategic Narratives Defining Russia's Soft Power in Lithuania. *Baltic Journal of Law & Politics*, 2021; 14(2): 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.2478/bjlp-2021-0008>
27. Qasim, M., Mursaleen, M., Khan, A., Abbas, Z. On Some Statistical Approximation Properties of Generalized Lupaş-Stancu Operators. *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, 2022; 46(5): 797-813.
28. Zahan, I., Nasrin, R. An Introduction to Fuzzy Topological Spaces. *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, 2021; 11(5): 483-501.